File: 11/053/002

MINE FILE CHRONOLOGY

5-M INCORPORATED SILVER REEF SITE - M/053/002

(updated 1-30-89)

<u>Legal Description</u>: Patented and unpatented claims - Sections 6 & 7, T41S, R13W and Sections 1, 11, 12, 13, 14, T41S, R14W

4/13/78

Original Mining & Reclamation Plan Application (MR-1) received from 5-M, Inc. for a new mine and mill at Silver Reef site. Meeting held at DOGM offices with operator. Supplemental maps, topographic information, etc. requested from the operator. Information to be provided within a week by 5-M.

4/17/89

DOGM receives letter from 5-M, Inc. attorney, Mr. Walter Plumb. Followup to April 13th meeting. Indicated operator would rely upon statutory exemption (DOE) to continue operations until MRP is approved.

5/19/78

Memo to File (Brian Buck). Area of operations intricately laced with accumulated disturbances of 100 + years mining. Regional area disturbances include >100 portals and shafts, numerous small open pits, 5 mills and many drill holes.

5-M controls most of the district (Still?). @ 1300 acres originally proposed for bonding. Proposing to strip mine and heap leach silver and copper ores. Silver and copper ores found in White Reef and Buckeye Reef.

5-M intending to apply for permit from NRC to mine and heap leach uranium/vanadium ore also. Uranium ore principally located: Pumpkin Point, Paulmer Hill and Tecumseh Hill. Some portals proposed for bottom of some proposed open pits. Underground development also contemplated.

Two (2) principal complications identified by DOGM: (1) immediately north of the proposed minesite is a developing residential area; and (2) a culinary water well exists just downstream from the leach pond area (5-M is attempting to purchase same).

Page 2 5-M Silver Reef Site M/053/002

May 25, 1978 Letter from concerned citizens in Leeds area.

Objections and Concerns:

- (1) Destruction of White Reef and historic areas.
- (2) Mining-related impacts to adjacent residential area.
- (3) Drop in local Silver Reef residents property values
- (4) Old mining ruins, mills, mines, artifacts and Indian ruins are and will continue to be destroyed.
- (5) 2 old cemeteries in area need preservation.
- (6) Esthetic and public safety concerns
- June 20, 1978 DOGM receives letter from SHPO, requesting that a cultural resource survey be conducted.
- July 6, 1978 DOGM notifies 5-M of SHPO's <u>recommendation</u>, but doesn't <u>require</u> a survey of operator.
- July 19, 1978 Public Notice of Tentative Approval sent to Newspaper Agency by DOGM for proposed operation. Involved permitting of @ 1352 acres.
- August 31, 1978

 Formal protest from Bennett Petroleum
 Corporation to the tentative approval decision.
 Objection based upon challenge to legal
 ownership of mineral and surface rights
 (unpatented mining claims & private surface).
- September 8, 1978 DOGM letter to Bennett Petroleum Corporation addressing their formal protest concerns.
- September 12, 1978 Letter from 5-M indicating enclosure of a map with revised bonding area for @ 60 acres of disturbance.
- October 2, 1978 Amended Protest received by DOGM from Bennett Petroleum Corporation.
- October 4, 1978

 DOGM letter to Bennett Petroleum in response to their amended protest, establishing November 29, 1978 as date for Board hearing to hear their formal protest.